

NOT TO BE PUBLISHED IN THE OFFICIAL REPORTS

California Rules of Court, rule 8.1115(a), prohibits courts and parties from citing or relying on opinions not certified for publication or ordered published, except as specified by rule 8.1115(b). This opinion has not been certified for publication or ordered published for purposes of rule 8.1115.

IN THE COURT OF APPEAL OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

SECOND APPELLATE DISTRICT

DIVISION TWO

In re L.S., JR., et al., Persons Coming
Under the Juvenile Court Law.

B253179
(Los Angeles County
Super. Ct. No. CK90764)

LOS ANGELES COUNTY
DEPARTMENT OF CHILDREN AND
FAMILY SERVICES,

Plaintiff and Respondent,

v.

Y.G.,

Defendant and Appellant.

TO THE COURT:*

Y.G. (appellant) appeals from an order entered on December 6, 2013, denying her Welfare and Institutions Code section 388¹ petition and terminating parental rights pursuant to section 366.26 to L.S., Jr. (L.), A.S. (A.), and Gabriel G.

* BOREN, P. J., ASHMANN-GERST, J., and FERNS, J.†

† Judge of the Los Angeles Superior Court, assigned by the Chief Justice pursuant to article VI, section 6 of the California Constitution.

¹ All further statutory references are to the Welfare and Institutions Code unless otherwise indicated.

On January 27, 2014, after examination of the record and the juvenile court file and discussing the issues on appeal with the California Appellate Project, appellant's court-appointed counsel advised this court in writing that there were no arguable issues. (*In re Phoenix H.* (2009) 47 Cal.4th 835.) On January 28, 2014, we notified appellant that she had 30 days within which to personally submit any argument she wished this court to consider and that the failure to do so would result in the dismissal of this appeal as abandoned.

On March 17, 2014, appellant filed a handwritten letter in which she informed this court that (1) she did not receive weekly visits with L. and A.; (2) the social worker's statements that she was inconsistent with conjoint therapy were false; (3) she did everything that was asked; and (4) she has remained clean and sober for over 14 months and continues to improve her life. These facts do not present an issue cognizable on appeal. Therefore, under the holding of *In re Sade C.* (1996) 13 Cal.4th 952, the appeal is dismissed.

NOT TO BE PUBLISHED IN THE OFFICIAL REPORTS.